

Research Article

Prevalence of reported drug allergy and its impact on Beta lactam use with financial and health implications

Abirami Murugesh-Warren^{1,2,#}, Ranu Malhi^{1,3,#}, Yogini Jani¹, Christopher Corrigan⁴, David Walker¹, Harsha Kariyawasam¹ and Joanna Lukawska^{1,4}*

¹University College London Hospitals, 235 Euston Rd, Bloomsbury, London NW1 2BU, UK ²Whittington Hospital NHS Trust, Magdala Ave, London N19 5NF, UK ³McMaster Children's Hospital, 1200 Main St W, Hamilton, ON L8N 3Z5, Canada ⁴King's College London, Guy's Hospital, London SE1 9RT, UK

*Address for Correspondence: Joanna Lukawska, University College London Hospitals, 235 Euston Rd, Bloomsbury, London NW1 2BU, UK, Tel: +447886596340; Email: joanna.lukawska@uclh.nhs.uk

*Joint first Author: Abirami Murugesh-Warren, University College London Hospitals, 235 Euston Rd, Bloomsbury, London NW1 2BU, UK Ranu Malhi, McMaster Children's Hospital, 1200 Main St W, Hamilton, ON L8N 325, Canada

Submitted: 01 August 2017 Approved: 21 August 2017 Published: 22 August 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Warren AM, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Keywords: Penicillin; Beta lactams; Allergy; Antibiotic; Cost; Readmission; Self-reported; Drug allergy

Check for updates

Abstract

Background: While recognition and documentation of true drug allergy is critically important, most physicians acknowledge that its prevalence is likely overestimated, often on the basis of historical, sometimes anecdotal evidence. Correct or not, once applied, drug allergy labels may result in altered, potentially inferior therapy, increased costs and prolonged hospitalisation.

Objective: Estimate the point prevalence, accuracy and symptomatology of self-reported drug allergy in a typical, large NHS Acute Trust adult inpatient population. In the subset with penicillin allergy (PA), estimate additional management costs from the use of alternative antibiotics and readmission rates in the previous 5 years.

Methods: Data on self-reported drug allergies were extracted from 440 adult inpatient prescription charts over a 4 month period. Where penicillin allergy (PA) was reported, alternative antibiotic regimens were recorded and their additional costs calculated. Hospital electronic records were used to assess readmission rates of PA patients.

Results: 194/440 inpatients (44.5%) reported at least one drug allergy. Antibiotic allergy was most commonly reported (51%), followed by analgesic (23%) and antiemetic (12%) allergy. PA accounted for 76% of reported antibiotic allergy. The commonest reported symptoms were cutaneous (42%) and gastrointestinal (18%). Where antibiotic therapy was required for patients with PA to manage acute infections, Ciprofloxacin, Clarithromycin, Teicoplanin, Clindamycin and Cefuroxime were the most commonly employed alternatives. Extrapolation of these figures to include the entire Trust inpatient population suggested that the use of alternative antibiotics in PA patients incurred additional annual expenditure of £268,000. Further, 87% of PA patients had been admitted more than once in the preceding 5 years, with 74% requiring further courses of antibiotics during these admissions.

Conclusion: Self-reported drug allergy, and in particular PA, is common in hospital inpatient populations and, in addition to the potentially unnecessary hazards to individual patients resulting from the use of alternative antibiotics, results in a considerable additional financial burden to the healthcare system. This problem could be eliminated by the provision of a nationwide and equitable tertiary Allergy service.

Introduction

Drug allergy (DA) and its adverse effects have been well studied in humans [1-8], despite this an accurate assessment of the prevalence and incidence of true drug allergy remains unknown, due in part to the challenges of the resource intense testing procedures [9]. Despite this uncertainty, it is clear that the true prevalence is likely to be much lower than that reported by patients [10].

How to cite this article: Warren AM, Malhi R, Jani Y, Corrigan C, Walker D, et al. Prevalence of reported drug allergy and its impact on Beta lactam use with financial and health implications. Arch Asthma Allergy Immunol. 2017; 1: 028-035. https://doi.org/10.29328/journal.haard.1001004



In routine clinical practice, and especially when compiling medical records, distinction of the authenticity of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) is of little practical importance; it is assumed that all drugs listed in the Drug Allergy section of a prescription chart are drugs that the patient's doctors and pharmacists believe may have significant risk attached to them and are generally avoided. In contrast, this may be very problematic for the prescriber, particularly in cases of self-reported penicillin allergy (PA) in patients presenting with severe infections. Penicillin is narrow spectrum, well tolerated and inexpensive; consequently it is the most commonly prescribed antibiotic in secondary care [11]. The problem of self-reported PA is compounded by the fact that in practice, it is typically extended to include all betalactam (BL) drugs because of their potential for cross reactivity [12]. This is a serious concern as BL antibiotics are considered to be first-line empirical treatment for many life-threatening infections, such as bacterial meningitis [13], sepsis and septic shock [14], intra-abdominal infections [15], hospital-acquired, ventilator associated pneumonia [16], diabetic foot infections [17], and skin and soft tissue infections [18].

The non-beta lactam (NBL) antibiotic therapy options that remain for patients labelled with PA include aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, tigecycline, or trime-thoprim/sulphamethoxazole. Unfortunately, their use has been associated with higher treatment failure rates [19], more significant unwanted effects, a greater incidence of *Clostridium difficile*, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* species super-infections, antibiotic resistance [13,14] and longer lengths of stay compared with those without PA [9].

The pharmacoeconomic impact of PA is also not insignificant. Picard and colleagues estimated that patients labelled as having PA carried an individual additional cost of more than \$326 per patient per admission [20]. In the UK, the cost of NBL antibiotics may be 1.82-2.58 fold higher than first-line antibiotics when used in patients with PA [21].

We designed a study to determine the point prevalence, symptomatology and accuracy of self-reported DA in secondary care.

We then focused on PA. We aimed to determine how many of our sample of patients were eligible for BL therapy as 'first line' treatment according to local and national guidelines, and how this treatment was modified by a label of PA. Finally, we estimated the incremental costs incurred through use of these alternative regimes during the current admission and thus the potential savings from 'unlabelling' these patients. We further examined the recurrent admission rates of these PA labelled patients to extrapolate potential savings over a 5-year period.

Methods

We undertook a cross-sectional study of 440 prescription charts for adult patients admitted to the surgical and medical wards of a large NHS Acute Trust (1,093 inpatient beds) over a 4-month period between November 2014 and February 2015. Patients were questioned on admission using a standard proforma. The presence or absence of DA, the medications listed and the signs and symptoms of DA appearing in the 'DA' section of the prescription were recorded. Every DA listed in the prescription was confirmed with the patient.

We enquired about the accuracy of the record with the patient and whether DA was confirmed by an allergist. Where PA was reported, alternative antibiotics used during the present admission were documented, if required. In order to estimate the cost implications of prescribing alternative antibiotics to patients with self-reported PA, we studied a subset of 27 patients with a range of diseases (community acquired pneumonia, hospital acquired pneumonia, sepsis of unknown origin, infective



exacerbation of COPD, neutropaenia, abdominal sepsis, skin infection and osteomyelitis) in whom therapy with BL would be considered 'first line' according to local hospital guidelines, but required alternatives due to the documented PA (Table 1). Prices for each medicine were derived primarily from the Drug Tariff available at the time of the analysis. Where products were not listed in the Drug Tariff, list prices were derived from the British National Formulary (Table 2) [22,23]. This was the basic drug tariff and did not include additional costs of personnel and therapeutic monitoring required for some of the BL alternatives. We then compared these costs with the theoretical costs of administering BL antibiotic therapy as per local and national guidelines. For this we used the prices of standard intravenous therapy (Table 2), reasoning that this would most likely have been employed for these acute, severe systemic infections. The differences between the costs were then summed to compute the additional daily cost. Costing analysis was conducted by 2 researchers independently.

The hospital's Electronic Record System was used to review the numbers of previous admissions in the preceding 2 and 5 year periods prior to the index period for patients with self-reported PA. The electronic 'Discharge Summary' was used to assess how many of these admissions required antibiotics and what antibiotic alternatives were used.

Fable 1: cost of alternative antibiotics versus 1 st line treatment for 8 common conditions with BL as 1 st line treatment.							
Condition	No of pt treated*	1 st line Abx	Total daily cost 1 st line (£) **	Actual total daily costs (£) ∧	Estimated total daily additional cost (£)^^	Fold increase in $cost \perp$	
Community acquired pneumonia	7	Cefuroxime	106.05	149.95	43.90	1.41	
Hospital acquired pneumonia	5	Amoxicillin + clarithromycin	102.75	143.16	40.41	1.39	
Sepsis of unknown origin	5	Cefuroxime	75.75	213.01	137.26	2.81	
COPD infective exacerbation	3	Amoxicillin	4.95	48.32	43.37	9.76	
Neutropaenic sepsis	2	Tazocin + gentamicin	72.58	137.16	64.58	1.89	
Abdominal sepsis	2	Cefuroxime	30.30	42.65	12.35	1.41	
Skin infection	2	IV flucloxacillin	66.80	38.57	-28.23	0.58	
Osteomyelitis	1	IV flucloxacillin + PO sodium fusidate	37	19.67	-17.33	0.59	
Total patients	27	Tota	Total savings per day		£296.31		

*only includes PA patients who suffered from a condition for which a beta-lactam antibiotic was 1st line treatment.

**total daily cost of treating all patients with the specific condition according to 1st line antibiotic regime (IV costs used to provide conservative price difference).

 \wedge Total daily cost of alternative antibiotic regime prescribed in the trust if treating all patients with the specific condition.

An Difference between total daily costs of preferred regime (involving BL) subtracted from alternative antibiotic total daily costs.

 \perp Calculation= (actual daily cost per pt/total cost 1st line per pt).

Table 2: cost of antibiotics used for c	alculations [22,23].		
Class of Antibiotic	Antibiotic	Cost per patient per day (f)	
	Cefuroxime	15.15	
	Amoxicillin	1.65	
DI Antibiation	Tazocin	60.68	
BL Anubioucs	Ceftriaxone	19.18	
	Co-amoxiclav	3.18	
	Flucloxacillin (IV)	33.40	
Non-BL Antibiotics			
	Chloramphenicol	5.56	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin	39.58	
Macrolides	Clarithromycin	18.90	
Chroppentides	Teicoplanin	7.32	
Blycopeptides	Vancomycin	25.00	
Lincosamide	Clindamycin	12.35	
Aminoglycoside	Gentamicin	5.95	
Fusidane	Sodium fusidate	3.60	
	Trimethoprim	0.14	



Results

A total of 440 inpatient prescription charts were reviewed. 194 (44.5%) of the inpatients, of whom 141 were female, had at least one DA recorded in the DA prescription box of their chart while 16% reported two or more. Only 2 patients had been investigated for drug allergy in an allergy clinic. Their DA diagnoses were confirmed through skin testing and challenges. Drug prescription charts reviewed were generally accurate (99%): one patient reported additional DA to omeprazole that had not been documented, while another denied documented clarithromycin allergy.

Antibiotic allergy was the commonest self-reported DA, accounting for 51% of the total reported, while PA accounted for 17.5% (n=77) of the total reports and 76% of the antibiotic allergy reports. Analgesic and anti-emetic allergy accounted for a further 23% and 12% of the total reports respectively (Figure 1). Reported manifestations of DA reactions were cutaneous (41%), gastrointestinal (19%), neurological (10%), respiratory (4%) and other miscellaneous (6%). Ten percent of reports were of systemic anaphylaxis. A further 10% were considered non-immunologically mediated (e.g. gastrointestinal bleeds with NSAIDs). Self-reported DA was commoner in older patients, with 69% of the total reported by patients aged >55 years.

The range of self-reported symptoms of the patients with PA is shown in figure 2. Interestingly, 44% of these patients were uncertain or ignorant of the drug-induced symptoms which had resulted in their being labelled as having PA.

Of the 77 self-reported PA patients in our cross-sectional survey, 36 (47%) required antibiotic therapy during the current (index) admission, while for 27 patients (35%) we judged that therapy with BL antibiotics would have been considered 'first line' therapy according to national and local guidelines. For such patients, Ciprofloxacin (16%) was the most commonly used alternative, followed by Clarithromycin (11%),



Figure 2: Drug reactions as reported by penicillin allergic patients.



Teicoplanin (8%) and Clindamycin (5%). Cephalosporins were generally avoided in patients with a PA label and used in only in 6.35% (Cefuroxime 5% and Ceftriaxone 1.35%).

Cost calculations suggested an additional daily absolute local pharmacy tariff of \pounds 296.31 for the 27 patients (6.1% of the study population) who were labelled with PA and who would otherwise have been treated with BL as 'first line' therapy as per guidelines (Tables 1 and 2). If this is extrapolated theoretically to include the total inpatient population of the Trust (1093 patients, assuming that all beds are occupied), the additional estimated cost of alternative antibiotics for this hospital alone is £734.26 per day, £22,334 per month and £268,000 per year.

A review of the historical electronic records of patients with a self-reported, documented penicillin allergy revealed that 73% and 87% of PA patients identified during the study were admitted more than once in the preceding 2 and 5 year periods, equating to 332 and 465 total readmissions respectively. Seventy-four percent of the PA patients required antibiotics at least once during their readmissions (Table 3). Again, Ciprofloxacin was the most commonly used antibiotic (14%), followed by Clarithromycin (13%), Teicoplanin (7%), Clindamycin (5%), Doxycycline (5%) and Cefuroxime (5%). We were unable to establish from electronic records the type of antibiotic used in 11% of the cases.

Discussion

Self-reported DA was a common finding amongst our medical and surgical adult inpatients. We found that staggeringly 44.5% reported being allergic to at least one medication [24,25]. Although there appears to be a surprising paucity of studies on this subject matter, others have reported it to be in the range of 7% to 39% [24-26]. The discrepancy between our results and others may be related to the fact that up to 25% of drug reactions although reported by the patients, remain unrecorded in prescription charts and medical records [27].

The prevalence of reported PA has been estimated at 8%-16% [28-32]. Of the inpatient population studied here, 17.5% had a PA recorded in their drug chart.

While failing to ascertain, document and act on drug allergies may be a threat to the health care of individual patients, so may the false assumption that they exist. DA diagnosis is difficult to establish based on clinical history alone [33,34], hence most patients with a label of DA would not ordinarily receive that drug again. This underlines the essential role of formal allergy diagnosis in an allergy clinic; to confirm and characterize DA when it exists and to eliminate the label when it does not. This is particularly pertinent in the case of PA, as penicillin/BL substitution may result in poorer clinical efficacy and potentially serious unwanted effects, such as colonisation with Clostridium difficile and promotion of antibiotic resistance [9,19,24,25]. Furthermore, following assessment by an allergist, 80-90% of patients with a label of PA are demonstrated to be able to tolerate penicillins [35]. Penicillin skin testing is safe and its negative predictive value is high. In large-scale studies, only 1-3% of patients with negative skin test responses had mild and self-limiting reactions on being challenged with the drug [28]. It is of course not without a cost, however, Macy and Contreras estimated that the cost of extended inpatient stay alone is 9.5 times as much as penicillin allergy testing would cost [9].

Table 3: Admission rates for penicillin allergic patients.

	2 years	5 years
Total no. of admissions	332	465
Average no. of admissions per patient	4.31	6.04
No. of patients requiring readmission (%)	56 (73)	67 (87)
Total admissions requiring antibiotics		174
No. of patients requiring antibiotics (%)		57 (74)







When prescribing antibiotics physicians' choice is based on several factors: underlying condition, local and national guidelines, personal experience, antibiotic sensitivity; antibiotic allergy is only one of the factors consider.

In the present study, BL antibiotics were the standard of care for 36% of patients labelled with PA, while quinolones, macrolides, glycopeptide and lincosamide antibiotics are the most commonly prescribed alternative antibiotic classes in those with presumed PA. This is in keeping with other studies demonstrating that quinolones, carbapenems and clindamycin are usually employed instead of penicillin [36,37].

Our 'snapshot', cross-sectional data suggests that the approximate additional cost of treating penicillin allergic patients in this typical, acute Trust is £268,000 per year. This is likely a minimum estimate, as this figure does not take into account the possible costs of treating unwanted effects of NBL antibiotics or cost of therapeutic drug monitoring required for several NBLs. Actual drug costs are, however, arguably only the tip of the iceberg. The mean duration of hospital admission for patients labelled with PA has been reported as 0.6 days longer than for control subjects [9]. Macy and Contreras estimated \$64.6 million saving over a 3-year study period by virtue of shortening the hospital stay [9].

The problem is compounded with each readmission requiring antibiotics. A significant proportion of hospital inpatients suffer from chronic conditions and require one or more admissions in any calendar year. Remarkably, in our present study 87% of PA patients had at least one other admission in the previous 5 years and antibiotic treatment was required at least once for over 74% of these, suggesting that overall costs over time for PA patients are much greater.

All of this data appears to underline the need for a concerted, global approach to the management of DA. It seems likely that the costs of providing a comprehensive allergy service would be more than offset by savings accrued through cheaper drugs and shorter hospital stays, especially given the readmission rates of most of our PA patients.

It seems inexplicable, therefore, that Allergy as a specialty, and in spite of the previous Parliamentary inquiry, remains "the unmet need" within the NHS [38]. Lack of allergy specialists is a global problem: 66% of Canadian physicians believe that shortage of allergists is a barrier to effective assessment of DA [20]. Only 1% of our inpatients with self-reported DA were reviewed by an allergist. Picard and colleagues made a good case for having allergist on staff in every Canadian teaching hospital [20]. Canada has similar number of physicians per capita to the UK (2.6 vs 2.8 per 1000 people) [39]. There are 188 allergists and 35 allergy trainees, serving the population of 35 million Canadians [40]. In the UK, there are 35 Allergy consultants and 8 allergy trainees serving the population of 65 million. An allergist in most, if not every tertiary referral centre, would begin to address the unmet need in the specialty. However, considering that there are 154 acute trusts, it is clear that at present and in the foreseeable future this remains unachievable.

DA will continue to be a relevant issue for medical practitioners. We are using more medications including biologicals, which are immunogenic by design, and we are living longer, giving individuals more time to acquire more ADRs. We therefore need specialists who can competently deal with this problem. In spite of austerity measures and budgetary cuts, we should invest in allergy, an investment that is likely to bring quick and irrefutable dividends.



Reference

- 1. Bigby M. Rates of cutaneous reactions to drugs. Arch Dermatol. 2001; 137: 765-770. Ref.: https://goo.gl/NSJVU3
- 2. Classen DC, Pestonik SL, Evans RS, Burke JP. Computerized surveillance of adverse drug events in hospitalized patients. JAMA. 1991; 266: 2847-2851. Ref.: https://goo.gl/GV1cBb
- Rademaker M, Oakley A, Dufill MB. Cutaneous adverse drug reactions in a hospital setting. N Z Med J. 1995; 108: 165-166. Ref.: https://goo.gl/86CLgx
- Hunziker T, Kunzi UP, Braunschweig S, Zehnder D, Hoigne R. Comprehensive hospital drug monitoring (CHDM): adverse skin reactions, a 20-year survery. Allergy. 1997; 52: 388-393. Ref.: https://goo.gl/yNG1GA
- Sharma VK, Sethuraman G, Kumar B. Cutaneous adverse drug reactions: clinical pattern and causative agents- a 6 year series from Chandigarh, India. J Postgrad Med. 2001; 47: 388-393. Ref.: https://goo.gl/cyzFfa
- Thong BY, Leong KP, Tang CY, Chng HH. Drug Allergy in a general hospital: results of a novel prospective inpatient reporting system. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2003; 90: 342-347. Ref.: https://goo.gl/nyjuSv
- Fiszenson-Albala F, Auzerie V, Mahe E, Farinotti R, Durand-Stocco C, et al. A 6-month prospective survey of cutaneous drug reactions in a hospital setting. Br J Dermatol. 2003; 149: 1018-1022. Ref.: https://goo.gl/Lg23pk
- Hernández-Salazar A, Rosales SP, Rangel-Frausto S, Criollo E, Archer-Dubon C, et al. Epidemiology of adverse cutaneous drug reactions. A prospective study in hospitalized patients. Arch Med Res. 2006; 37: 899-902. Ref.: https://goo.gl/eKT2So
- Macy E, Contreras R. Healthcare use of serious infection prevalence associated with penicillin 'allergy' in hospitalized patients: a cohort study. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2014; 133: 790-796. Ref.: https://goo.gl/NyVNQC
- 10. Park MA, Matesic D, Markus PJ, Li JTC. Female sex as a risk factor for penicillin allergy. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2007; 99: 54-58. Ref.: https://goo.gl/udaN4i
- Vander Stichele RH, Elseviers MM, Ferech M, Blot S, Goossens H. Hospital consumption of antibiotics in 15 European counties: results of the ESAC Retrospective Data Collection (1997-2002). J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006; 58: 159-167.
- 12. Pumphrey RS, Davis S. Under-reporting of antibiotic anaphylaxis may put patients at risk. Lancet. 1999; 353: 1157-1158. Ref.: https://goo.gl/2brVZ4
- 13. Tunkel AR, Hartman BJ, Kaplan SL, Kaufman BA, Roos KL, et al. Practice guidelines for the management of bacterial meningitis. Clin Infect Dis. 2004; 39: 1267-1284. Ref.: https://goo.gl/k85jz5
- 14. Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, Annane D, Gerlach H, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock. Crit Care Med. 2013; 41: 580-637. Ref.: https://goo.gl/D8hdSK
- 15. Solomkin JS, Mazuski JE, Bradley JS, Rodvold KA, Goldstein EJ, et al. Diagnosis and management of complicated intra-abdominal infection in adults and children: guidelines by the Surgical Infection Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2010; 50: 133-164. Ref.: https://goo.gl/wSDsPS
- American Thoracic Society, Infectious Diseases Society of America. Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2005; 171: 388-416. Ref.: https://goo.gl/A1fbvv
- 17. Lipsky BA, Berendt AR, Cornia PB, Pile JC, Peters EJ, et al. 2012 Infectious Diseases Society of America clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of diabetic foot infectious. Clin Infect Dis. 2012; 54: 132-173. Ref.: https://goo.gl/bDchcc
- Stevens DL, Bisno AL, Chambers HF, Dellinger EP, Goldstein EJ, et al. Practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of skin and soft tissue infections: 2014 update by the Infectious Disease Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2014; 59: 10-52. Ref.: https://goo.gl/d7JXf3
- 19. Jeffries MN, Narayan PP, Shuster JE, Schramm GE. Consequences of avoiding beta-lactams in patients with b-lactam allergies. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016; 137: 1148-1153. Ref.: https://goo.gl/G2euqr
- Picard M, Begin P, Bouchard H, Cloutier J, Lacombe-Barrios J, et al. Treatment of patients with a history of penicillin allergy in a large tertiary-care academic hospital. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2013; 1: 252-257. Ref.: https://goo.gl/ycXTQp



- Li M, Krishna MT, Razaq S, Pillay D. A real-time prospective evaluation of clinical pharmaco-economic impact of diagnostic label of 'penicillin allergy' in a UK teaching hospital. J Clin Pathol. 2014; 67: 1088-1092. Ref.: https://goo.gl/qPVd68
- 22. NHSBusinessServicesAuthority,NHSPrescriptionServices.NHSElectronicDrugTariff. [Internet]. Ref.: nhsbsa.nhs.uk.
- 23. British National Formulary. [Internet]. medicinescomplete.com. [Cited October 5, 2016]. Ref.: https://goo.gl/GmWRHa
- 24. Gomes E, Cardoso MF, Praça F, Gomes L, Mariño E. Self-reported drug allergy in a general adult Portugese population. Clin Exp Allergy. 2004; 34: 1597-1601. **Ref.:** https://goo.gl/eBQG5p
- 25. MacPherson RD, Willcox C, Chow C, Wang A. Anaesthetist's responses to patients' self-reported drug allergies. Br J Anaesth. 2006; 97: 634-639. Ref.: https://goo.gl/XV3AFL
- Jones TA, Como JA. Assessment of medication errors that involved drug allergies at a university hospital. Pharmacotherapy. 2003; 23: 855-860. Ref.: https://goo.gl/UbQHhH
- 27. Shenfield GM, Robb T, Duguid M. Recording previous adverse drug reactions-a gap in the system. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2001; 51: 623-626. **Ref.**: https://goo.gl/gw7xJk
- Gadde J, Spence M, Wheeler B, Adkinson NF Jr. Clinical experience with penicillin skin testing in a large inner-city STD clinic. JAMA. 1993; 270: 2456-2463. Ref.: https://goo.gl/1JvTvT
- 29. Solensky R. Hypersensitivity reactions to beta-lactam antibiotics. Clin Revi Allerg Immu. 2003; 24: 201-220. Ref.: https://goo.gl/CGjKqb
- Macy E, Poon KY T. Self-reported antibiotic allergy incidence and prevalence: age and sex effects. Am J Med. 2009; 122: 1-7. Ref.: https://goo.gl/948w46
- Joint Task Force on Practice Parameters, American Academy of Alergy, Asthma and Immunology, American College of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology, Joint Council of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology. Drug allergy: an updated practice parameter. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2010; 105: 259-273.
- 32. Shah NS, Ridgway JP, Pettit N, Fahrenbach J, Robicsek A. Documenting Penicillin Allergy: The Impact of Inconsistency. PLoS ONE. 2016; 11: e0150514. Ref.: https://goo.gl/mScXJD
- 33. Macy E. How predictive is a history of penicillin allergy? JAMA. 2001; 286: 1174. Ref.: https://goo.gl/XxBMXj
- 34. Wong BB, Keith PK, Waserman S. Clinical history as a predictor of penicillin skin test outcome. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2006; 97: 169-174. Ref.: https://goo.gl/HRcFRo
- 35. Rubio M, Bousquiet PJ, Gomes E, Romano A, Demoly P. Results of d drug hypersensitivity evaluations in a large group of children and adults. Clin Exp Allergy. 2011; 42: 122-123. Ref.: https://goo.gl/Gju6Ns
- 36. Hensgens MP, Goorhuis A, Dekkers OM, Kuijper EJ. Time interval of increased risk of Clostridium difficile infection after exposure to antibiotics. J Antimicrob Chemoth. 2012; 67: 742-748. Ref.: https://goo.gl/y1ifMy
- 37. Weiss K. Clostridium difficile and fluoroquinolones: is there a link? Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2009; 33: 29-32. Ref.: https://goo.gl/DiAJ9g
- NHS Confederation. Key Statistics on the NHS. [Internet]. NHS confederation org. 2016 [cited on July 17, 2016]. Available from: https://goo.gl/ZiqSQL
- 39. Canadian Medical Association. Physician Data Centre: Canadian physician statistics. [Internet]. Canadian Medical Association. 2016 [cited July 17, 2010]. Ref.: https://goo.gl/EkY6UK
- 40. Balekian DS, Banerji A, Blumenthal KG, Camargo CA, Long AA. Allergen immunotherapy: No evidence of infectious risk. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016; 137: 1887-1888. Ref.: https://goo.gl/s4oRqA s